PAGE 1

STIPULATION AND ORDER RE MODIFICATION OF TIME FOR HEARING

28

Case No. CIV. S-01-1910 MCE DAD

hearing date for their Motion for Summary Judgment. Ms. Deutsch informed Defendants' counsel that August 10, 2009 was not available and that August 13, 2009 was the next available hearing date. Ms. Deutsch also informed Defendants' counsel that July 30, 2009 was an available date, but that the date would not allow for the requisite notice period. Ms. Deutsch advised Defendants' counsel that Defendants could, among other things, file a stipulation and proposed order requesting that the hearing cut-off date be extended from August 10, 2009 to August 13, 2009.

- 4. **WHEREAS**, Plaintiff has stipulated to an extension of time for the hearing on Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment from August 10, 2009 to August 13, 2009.
- 5. WHEREAS, the parties agree that good cause exists and that leave of court should be granted to allow a modification of the dispositive motion hearing date by three days, from August 10, 2009 to August 13, 2009.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED AS **FOLLOWS:**

- 1. Good cause exists for a modification of the Order to extend the final dispositive motion hearing date by three days from August 10, 2009 to August 13, 2009.
 - 2. Dispositive motions shall be heard no later than August 13, 2009.

// //

//

23 //

24 //

25 // 26 //

27

PAGE 2

Case 2:01-cv-01910-MCE-DAD Document 53 Filed 07/15/09 Page 3 of 4 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 1 2 Dated: July 6, 2009 3 CAULFIELD DAVIES & DONAHUE, LLP 4 5 /s/ Richard H. Caulfield 6 Richard H. Caulfield Attorney for Defendants 7 8 Dated: July 14, 2009 LAW OFFICES OF CYRUS ZAL 9 10 11 By:____/s/ Cyrus Zal_ Cyrus Zal 12 Attorney for Plaintiff 13 14 **ORDER** 15 16 Pursuant to the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing therefor, the 17 date for hearing dispositive motions in this matter is hereby extended from August 10, 2009 18 to August 13, 2009. All other dates contained within the Court's May 1, 2009 Pretrial 19 (Status) Scheduling Order remain unchanged. 20 IT IS SO ORDERED. 21 DATED: July 14, 2009 22 23 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR 24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 25 26 27 PAGE 3 28 Case No. CIV. S-01-1910 MCE DAD

STIPULATION AND ORDER RE MODIFICATION OF TIME FOR **HEARING**

1 2	Baldacchino v. County of El Dorado, et al. Case Number: CIV-S-01-1910 GEB DAD
3	AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE
4	I, the undersigned, declare that I am a citizen of the United States, over 18 years of age,
5	employed in Sacramento County, and not a party to the within action; my business address is
6	1 Natoma Street, Folsom, California 95630.
7	On July 6, 2009, I served a true copy of the document described as STIPULATION
8	AND ORDER RE MODIFICATION OF TIME FOR HEARING ON DISPOSITIVE
9	MOTIONS on all parties in said action by sealing it in an envelope addressed to:
10	
11	Cyrus Zal CYRUS ZAL, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
12	102 Mainsail Court
13	Folsom, CA 95630
14	Ü (BY MAIL) I caused such envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid to be placed in the United States mail at Folsom, California. I am familiar with my firm's practice whereby
15	the mail is given the appropriate postage and is placed in a designated area to be deposited
16	in a U.S. Mail box in Folsom, California, in the ordinary course of business. (BY EXPRESS MAIL) I caused such envelope to be placed in the U.S. Mail depository at
17	Folsom, California.
18	(BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to addressee as stated in the service list.
19	(BY FACSIMILE/TELECOPIER/MAIL) I personally sent to the addressee's telecopier number (as stated in the service list) a true copy of the above-described documents.
20	(BY FEDERAL EXPRESS) I caused such envelope to be placed in a Federal Express
21	depository at Folsom, California.
22	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that
23	the foregoing information contained in the Proof of Service is true and correct. Executed in
24	Folsom, California, on July 6, 2009.
25	/a/Liona L Ignas
26	/s/ <u>Liana J. Ignes</u> Liana J. Ignes
27	
28	PAGE 4
	STIPULATION AND ORDER RE Case No. CIV. S-01-1910 MCE DAD MODIFICATION OF TIME FOR

HEARING